

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignis 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003

| | | 1.3 | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
| 03/01/2002 | Quinn K. Tong | 1988.EEM | 7273 |
| 05/13/2003 | | | |
| r | | | |
| Counsel, I.P. NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY | | EXAMINER | |
| | | AYLWARD, DAVID E | |
| e | - 00///// | , | 5.1.71 <i>D</i> L |
| Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1712 | |
| 2 | 05/13/2003 r CH AND CHEMICA | 03/01/2002 Quinn K. Tong 05/13/2003 CCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY | 03/01/2002 Quinn K. Tong 1988.EEM 05/13/2003 T EXAME CH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY E8807-0500 ART UNIT |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| HG |
|----|
|----|

| | Application No. /0/084869 Tonga Sunnetal, | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | Examiner Group Art Unit | | | | |
| · | Aylward 1712 | | | | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address - | | | | | |
| P riod for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | |
| from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ng date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. | or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| Claim(s) 1-31 | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| ⊠ Claim(s) 25 is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| ☑ Claim(s) 3 | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| \boxtimes Claim(s) $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{3}{24}$ $\frac{3}{24}$ $\frac{24}{24}$ $\frac{24}{24}$ | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| | are subject to restriction or election | | | | |
| Application Papers requirement ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. | | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner | | | | | |
| The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| ⊓ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und | der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). | | | | |
| □ All □ Some* □ None of the: | | | | | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received | | | | | |
| in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | • | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | // | | | | |
| ☑ Information Disclosure Statem nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) □ Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413 | | | | | |
| Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Inf rmal Pat nt Application | | | | | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948 | □ Oth r | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | | | |

Serial No. 10/084,869

Art Unit 1712

. ...

- 1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is an occurrence of the word "of" missing between the words "more" and "the" in the first line of section E of this claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The first and the next to the last formula incompletely describe the epoxy compounds being claimed because they give no information as to how the 34 carbons designated as $^{"}C_{34}$ in the middle of these formulas are arranged nor is any indication given as to how these carbons are substituted.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

Art Unit 1712

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. The comments directed to the first and next to the last formula in claim 3 in letter paragraph 3 (above) also indicate why these formulae render the claim indefinite.
- 7. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the at least one epoxy resin" in claim 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. \$ 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial No. 10/084,869

Art Unit 1712

· ...

- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilleo (6,265,776).
- 10. Gilleo teaches an underfill coated and solidified on a semiconductor wafer before dicing (Abstract column 3 lines 58-61). An underfill coating alone is taught at column 4 lines 19-20. One of ordinary skill in the art would fairly interpret the teaching at column 4 line 32 of "drying or hardening a thermoset resin to a very low cross-linking density" as a teaching of partial curing or as a "B-stage process". An epoxy resin/anhydride thermoset coating is taught at column 4 lines 48-49.
- 11. Claims 4-24 and 26-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Aylward whose telephone number is $(703)\ 308-2372$. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dawson, can be reached on $(703)\ 308-2340$. The fax phone number for this Group is $(703)\ 872-9310$.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

-5-

DAylward:cdc April 28, 2003

> Robert Dawson Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700